

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/05/2024 2:16 PM from Dr Todd Wauchob.

Application Summary

Address: Land Off Daskett Hill Sheriff Hutton

Proposal: Change of use of agricultural and equestrian land to allow the siting of 24no. holiday lodges, 1no. wardens lodge and 1no. reception/shop with associated access, parking, infrastructure and landscaping (revised detail to planning approval 20/00701/MFUL dated 15.11.2021)

Case Officer: Niamh Bonner

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: Dr Todd Wauchob

Address: Pearce House, North Garth Lane, Sheriff Hutton YO60 6SF

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Before the committee considers approval of this reapplication I think it important they reflect on the impact this proposed development will have on Sheriff Hutton.
I have found no-one in the locality who supports this proposal. In my view it is unwanted, unhelpful to the location, inappropriate and poses significant danger and disruption to local road and village users, to absolutely no local benefit.
The advised clear view of the entrance is already less than required in the advice. How soon will this get worse as vegetation grows? Who will be responsible and police this dangerous access? Who will bear responsibility for any accidents in this access area?

The proposed access for HGV delivery and on site work is on the main Strensall to Terrington road. This is already in a disgraceful condition and will create more noise and pollution for those living in the proximity. There will be serious costs for upkeep of this essential road - who will have financial responsibility for the repair and upkeep?

I note that work to move the overhead electrical supply is taking place at not inconsiderable disturbance to neighbours and road users. I hope the huge costs of this are being born by the owner/developer? I suspect the public/ council purse is being used.

There remains no clause to restrict occupancy on the site.

The proposed "lodges" have no visual appeal and resemble static caravans. This is not appropriate for the area.

The proposed drainage and sewer use will severely test the current system already in a dilapidated state and constantly needing repair. I pity those living further downhill.

I am suspicious of the sudden need for sound proof fencing, surely this is indicative of disruption to neighbours

Before any approval is considered, the committee must be in a position to reassure the local people that their legitimate concerns are thoroughly addressed and answered to their satisfaction.

This development is not needed and is detrimental.

Kind regards